


Topic 5

Strategic Governance & Operational Security Frameworks



Dr Diarmuid Ó Briain
Version: 1.0

Copyright © 2026 C²S Consulting

Licensed under the EUPL, Version 1.2 or – as soon they will be approved by the European Commission - subsequent versions of the EUPL (the "Licence");

You may not use this work except in compliance with the Licence.

You may obtain a copy of the Licence at:

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/custom-page/attachment/eupl_v1.2_en.pdf

Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the Licence is distributed on an "AS IS" basis, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied.

See the Licence for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the Licence.

Dr Diarmuid Ó Briain



Table of Contents

1 Objectives.....	5
2 Introduction.....	5
3 Management & Lifecycle Frameworks.....	6
3.1 IT Infrastructure Library.....	6
3.2 ITIL Dimensions.....	7
4 Internal Corporate Governance & Financial Controls.....	9
4.1 COSO Internal Control — Integrated Framework.....	9
4.2 COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework.....	10
5 Maturity Models & Controls Objectives.....	13
5.1 Capability Maturity Model Integration.....	13
5.2 COBIT Principles and Objectives.....	15
5.3 Implementing Multi-Framework Coexistence.....	18
6 NIST Cybersecurity Framework.....	20
6.1 Introduction to CSF Core.....	21
6.2 Functional Categories and Sub-categories.....	22
6.3 Operational Deployment.....	23
7 Bibliography.....	31

Table of Figures

Figure 1: ITIL Dimensions.....	7
Figure 2: COSO Interrelated Components.....	9
Figure 3: ERM Framework.....	10
Figure 4: CMM Levels.....	13
Figure 5: COBIT 2019 IT Governance.....	15
Figure 6: COBIT 2019 Objective Domains.....	16
Figure 7: Framework Functions.....	21
Figure 8: CSF Target Profiles.....	24
Figure 9: Create CSF Profiles.....	24
Figure 10: CSF Tiers.....	29

Index of Tables

Table 1: Key differences between the COSO ICIF and the ERMF.....	12
Table 2: Categories and their identifiers.....	22
Table 3: Organisational Profile Template.....	26
Table 4: Action Plan Template.....	28

1 Objectives

By the end of this topic, you will be able to:

- Explain the fundamental purpose and core structures of Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL), Committee of Sponsoring Organisations (COSO), and Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), identifying how each aligns operational maturity and financial controls with security objectives.
- Compare the unique strengths of various management models and explain how Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT) principles serve as an overarching umbrella to help these systems coexist without corporate redundancy.
- Detail the structural layout of the updated National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework (CSF v2.0) in close depth, explaining how its expanded core functions, categories, and sub-categories function.
- Assess high-level framework characteristics to determine which models best align with specific regulatory compliance demands and internal board-level risk appetites.

2 Introduction

Cyber resilience must be woven directly into the fabric of corporate governance, service management, and financial control. This topic introduces the frameworks and standards that dictate modern enterprise Information Technology (IT) governance, offering a foundational overview of the service dimensions of ITIL, the financial protections of COSO, and the capability benchmarks of CMMI. Learners will explore the practical challenges of multi-framework coexistence, learning how COBIT principles act as an overarching governance umbrella to prevent operational redundancy. Finally, the module pivots to a more detailed exploration of NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF 2.0), ensuring learners can navigate its core functions, categories, and sub-categories to assess which frameworks best satisfy both regulatory compliance bodies and internal board-level risk appetites.

3 Management & Lifecycle Frameworks

Corporate management and lifecycle frameworks provide the structural scaffolding necessary to align an organisation's operational workflows with its broader strategic goals. Rather than treating technology as an isolated utility, these models view IT and operational environments as dynamic service ecosystems. By standardising how services are designed, delivered, and continuously improved, these frameworks transform ad-hoc technical tasks into predictable, repeatable processes.

3.1 IT Infrastructure Library

ITIL is a set of concepts and practices for managing IT Service Management (ITSM) and IT Asset Management (ITAM) that focus on the alignment of IT services with the needs of the business.

ITIL gives detailed descriptions of a number of important IT practices and provides comprehensive check lists, tasks and procedures that any IT organisation can tailor to its needs. ITIL is published in a series of books, each of which covers an IT management topic. The names ITIL and IT Infrastructure Library are registered trademarks of the United Kingdom's Office of Government Commerce (OGC). The latest version at the time of writing is ITIL version 4, released in 2019.

ITIL takes a principles-based approach with a set of five principles:

1. **Focus on value:** ITIL organisations should focus on delivering value to their customers and stakeholders.
2. **Create a service value system:** ITIL organisations should create a service value system that aligns their IT services with their business goals.
3. **Work together:** ITIL organisations should work together across the organisation to deliver IT services.
4. **Be open:** ITIL organisations should be open to new ideas and approaches to ITSM.
5. **Be continual:** ITIL organisations should continuously improve their ITSM practices.

Five volumes comprise the ITIL 4, published in 2019 [1]:

- **Part 1: Foundations:** provide an overview of ITIL 4 and its five principles.
- **Part 2: Practices:** provide detailed guidance on the practices that organisations can use to implement ITIL 4.
- **Part 3: Digital and IT Strategy:** provides guidance on how organisations can use ITIL 4 to align their IT services with their digital and business strategies.
- **Part 4: Continual Service Improvement:** provides guidance on how organisations can continuously improve their ITSM practices.



3.2 ITIL Dimensions




Figure 1: ITIL Dimensions

ITIL defines four dimensions that organisations should consider when implementing ITSM. The four dimensions of ITIL provide a holistic view of ITSM. By considering all four dimensions, organisations can ensure that they are implementing ITSM in a way that is effective and sustainable. The 4 dimensions are illustrated in Figure 1.

3.2.1.1 Organisations and people

This dimension focuses on the people who work in the organisation and the way they interact with each other and with IT services. It includes the following aspects:

- **Culture:** The culture of the organisation, which includes its values, beliefs, and norms.
- **Roles and responsibilities:** The roles and responsibilities of the people who work in the organisation, including those who are responsible for ITSM.
- **Skills and knowledge:** The skills and knowledge that are needed to deliver IT services effectively.
- **Communication:** The way that people communicate with each other, both within the organisation and with customers and stakeholders.

3.2.1.2 Information and technology

This dimension focuses on the IT that are used to deliver IT services. It includes the following aspects:

- **Data:** that is used to deliver IT services, including its quality, accuracy, and security.
- **Technology:** that is used to deliver IT services, including its capabilities, limitations, and risks.
- **Applications:** that are used to deliver IT services, including their functionality, usability, and security.

3.2.1.3 Partners and suppliers

This dimension focuses on the relationships that organisations have with their partners and suppliers. It includes the following aspects:

- **Relationships:** that organisations have with their partners and suppliers, including their trust, communication, and collaboration.
- **Contracts:** that organisations have with their partners and suppliers, including their terms and conditions.
- **Dependencies:** that organisations have on their partners and suppliers, including their criticality and risk.

3.2.1.4 Value streams and processes

This dimension focuses on the way that value is created and delivered to customers and stakeholders. It includes the following aspects:

- **Value:** that is created for customers and stakeholders, including its perceived benefits and costs.
- **Requirements:** of customers and stakeholders, including their needs, expectations, and priorities.
- **Processes:** that are used to create and deliver value, including their efficiency, effectiveness, and alignment with the organisation's goals.

4 Internal Corporate Governance & Financial Controls

The US Treadway Commission was established in 1985 to provide guidance on prevention of fraud or abuse of public trust by public officials, non-profit organisations, for-profit organisations, and others who are charged with upholding the law.

One output of the commission is the COSO, a voluntary private-sector organisation, dedicated to providing guidance to executive management and governance entities on critical aspects of organisational governance, business ethics, internal control, Enterprise Risk Management (ERM), fraud, and financial reporting. COSO established a common internal control model against which companies and organisations may assess their control systems.

4.1 COSO Internal Control — Integrated Framework

COSO's most well-known framework is the Internal Control - Integrated Framework (ICIF) [2], which is a set of principles and concepts that organisations can use to design, implement, and assess their internal controls. The framework is based on five interrelated components as illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2: COSO Interrelated Components

The ICIF framework is widely accepted by organisations around the world, and it is used by regulators, auditors, and internal auditors to assess the effectiveness of internal controls.

In addition to the ICIF, COSO also publishes frameworks on ERM and fraud deterrence. The ERM framework provides guidance on how organisations can identify, assess, and manage risks to their objectives. The fraud deterrence framework provides guidance on how organisations can prevent and detect fraud.

The current version of the ICIF is version 2013. It is defined by three volumes:

- **Volume 1:** Executive Summary provides an overview of the framework and its key concepts.
- **Volume 2:** Framework provides a detailed explanation of the five components of internal control and the 17 principles that support them.
- **Volume 3:** Application Tools and Examples provides practical guidance on how to implement the framework in organisations of all sizes and industries.

4.2 COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework




Figure 3: ERM Framework

The COSO ERM Framework has five component objectives and 20 principles across them [3]. The five objectives are:

1. Governance and Culture

Governance and culture form a basis for all other components of ERM. Risk governance sets the entity's tone, reinforces the importance of and establishes oversight responsibilities for ERM. Culture pertains to ethical values, desired behaviours, and understanding of risk in the organisation. Culture is reflected in decision-making. An entity's board of directors plays an important role in governance and significantly influences ERM. There are five principles relating to this component:

- Principle 1: Exercises Board Risk Oversight
- Principle 2: Establishes Operating Structures
- Principle 3: Defines Desired Organisational Behaviours
- Principle 4: Demonstrates Commitment to Core Values
- Principle 5 : Attracts, Develops and Retains Capable Individuals.

2. Strategy and Objective-Setting

ERM is integrated into the entity's strategic plan through the process of setting strategy and business objectives. With an understanding of business context, the organisation can gain insight into internal and external factors and their effect on risk. Risk appetite is established and aligned with strategy. The business objectives allow strategy to be put into practice and shape the entity's day-to-day operations and priorities. Four principles have been set relating to this component:

- Principle 6: Analyses Business Context
- Principle 7: Defines Risk Appetite
- Principle 8: Evaluates Alternative Strategies
- Principle 9: Formulates Business Objectives.

3. Performance

An organisation identifies and assesses risks that may affect the entity's ability to achieve its strategy and business objectives. Risks are prioritised according to their severity and considering the entity's risk appetite. The organisation then selects risk responses and monitors performance for change. The organisation determines a portfolio view of the amount of risk the entity has assumed in the pursuit of its strategy and business objectives. There are five principles relating to this component:

- Principle 10: Identifies Risk
- Principle 11: Assesses Severity of Risk
- Principle 12: Prioritises Risk
- Principle 13: Implements Risk Responses
- Principle 14: Develops Portfolio View.

4. Review and Revision

An entity's strategy or business objectives and ERM practices and capabilities may change over time as the entity adapts to shifting business context. In addition the business context in which the entity operates can also change, resulting in current practices no longer applying or sufficient to support the achievement of current or updated business objectives. As necessary, the organisation revises its practices or supplements its capabilities. This component has three principles:

- Principle 15: Assesses Substantial Change
- Principle 16: Reviews Risk and Performance
- Principle 17: Pursues Improvement in ERM.

5. Information, Communication and Reporting

Communication is the continual, iterative process of providing, sharing and obtaining information, which flows throughout the entity. Management uses relevant information from both internal and external sources to support ERM. The organisation reports on risk, culture, and performance at multiple levels of the entity. There are three principles regarding this component:

- Principle 18: Leverages Information and Technology
- Principle 19: Communicates Risk Information
- Principle 20: Reports on Risk, Culture, and Performance.

The current version is the 2017 COSO ERM Framework, and it is defined by two volumes:

- **Volume 1:** Executive Summary provides an overview of the framework and its key concepts.
- **Volume 2:** Framework provides a detailed explanation of the five objective components of ERM and the 20 principles that support them.

4.2.1 COSO Complementary frameworks

The ICIF and the ERM are complementary frameworks. The ICIF can be used to implement the ERM by providing guidance on how to design and implement control activities to mitigate risks.

The ICIF is more focused on the internal controls that are necessary to achieve an organisation's objectives, while the ERM is more focused on the overall risk management process.

Organisations can use either framework, or both frameworks, to improve their risk management practices. The best framework for an organisation will depend on its specific needs and objectives.

Table 1: Key differences between the COSO ICIF and the ERMF

Characteristic	ICIF	ERM
Focus	Internal controls	Risk management
Components	Control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, monitoring	Internal environment, objective setting, event identification, risk assessment, risk response, control activities, information and communication, monitoring
Purpose	To design, implement, and assess internal controls	To identify, assess, and manage risks to an organisation's objectives
Scope	More focused on the internal controls that are necessary to achieve an organisation's objectives	More focused on the overall risk management process
Best for	Organisations that are looking to improve their internal controls	Organisations that are looking to improve their overall risk management practices

5 Maturity Models & Controls Objectives

5.1 Capability Maturity Model Integration




Figure 4: CMM Levels

The Capability Maturity Model (CMM) is a service mark and a model for understanding the capability maturity of an organisation's software development business processes. Because the CMM is about process maturity, it differs from more common maturity models that provide a structured collection of elements that describe certain aspects of maturity in an organisation. The CMM is useful as a general theoretical model, to aid in the definition and understanding of an organisation's process capability maturity.

Level 1 – Initial / Ad-Hoc

- It is characteristic of processes at this level that they are (typically) undocumented and in a state of dynamic change, tending to be driven in an ad hoc, uncontrolled and reactive manner by users or events.
- This provides a chaotic or unstable environment for the processes.

Level 2 - Repeatable

- It is characteristic of processes at this level that some processes are repeatable, possibly with consistent results.
- Process discipline is unlikely to be rigorous, but where it exists it may help to ensure that existing processes are maintained during times of stress.

Level 3 - Defined

- It is characteristic of processes at this level that there are sets of defined and documented standard processes established and subject to some degree of improvement over time.
- These standard processes are in place and are used to establish consistency of process performance across the organisation.

Level 4 - Managed

- It is characteristic of processes at this level that, using process metrics, management can effectively control the process.
- In particular, management can identify ways to adjust and adapt the process without measurable loss of quality or deviations from specifications.
- Process Capability is established from this level.

Level 5 - Optimised

- It is characteristic of processes at this level that the focus is on continually improving process performance through both incremental and innovative technological changes/improvements.

For software development, the CMM has been superseded by CMMI. The CMMI is a proven methodology for Performance Management managed by the Software Engineering Institute (SEI). It comes in three models:

CMMI for Acquisition

- Designed for businesses that focus on working with suppliers to assemble a product or deliver a service.
- This model delves into creating effective solicitations and supplier agreements, effectively gathering and communicating requirements to suppliers, monitoring supplier activities and artefacts, and ensuring the results of supplier work meet the needs of end users.

CMMI for Development

- Designed for businesses that focus on developing products and services.
- This model delves into detail about converting customer requirements into requirements used by developers, effectively integrating product components into the final product or service, performing the technical analysis and development work to design the product or service, and ensuring that development work meets the needs of the end users and the specifications formulated during design.

CMMI for Services

- Designed for businesses that focus on establishing, managing, and delivering services to customers.
- This model delves into effectively defining, planning, and preparing for the service offering; establishing service agreements; ensuring effective service delivery and management; monitoring service performance; and ensuring that the delivered services meet the quality standards and operational requirements for end users.

5.2 COBIT Principles and Objectives




Figure 5: COBIT 2019 IT Governance

Developed by the Information Systems Audit and Control Association (ISACA), COBIT 2019, is a comprehensive framework for IT governance and management that helps organisations align their IT investments with their business goals [4]. COBIT covers all aspects of IT governance, from strategy and planning to risk management and compliance.

5.2.1 COBIT Principles

The COBIT framework is based on six principles:

1. **Provide Stakeholder Value.** The governance system should be designed to meet the needs of all stakeholders, including customers, employees, investors, and regulators. This means that the governance system should be focused on helping the organisation achieve its goals and objectives, while also protecting the interests of all stakeholders.
2. **Holistic Approach.** The governance system should consider all aspects of IT, including strategy, architecture, development, delivery, and support. This means that the governance system should be integrated with other enterprise governance frameworks, such as ERM and compliance.
3. **Dynamic Governance System.** The governance system should be flexible and adaptable to change. This is because the business landscape is constantly changing, and the governance system needs to be able to keep up. The governance system should also be able to respond to new risks and opportunities.

4. **Governance Distinct from Management.** The governance system should be responsible for setting direction and overseeing management's performance, but it should not be involved in the day-to-day management of IT. This is because governance is about setting the rules of the game, while management is about playing the game.
5. **Tailored to Enterprise Needs.** The governance system should be tailored to the specific needs of the enterprise, taking into account its size, complexity, industry, and risk profile. This means that there is no one-size-fits-all approach to governance. The governance system should be customised to meet the specific needs of the organisation.
6. **End-to-end Governance System.** The governance system should cover all aspects of IT, from planning and design to delivery and support. This means that the governance system should be integrated across all business units and functions.

5.2.2 COBIT Objectives



Figure 6: COBIT 2019 Objective Domains

COBIT 2019 defines 40 governance and management objectives, which are grouped into five domains:

1. **Evaluate, Direct and Monitor (EDM):** is concerned with ensuring that the organisation's IT strategy and governance are aligned with its overall business goals. It also includes objectives related to performance and risk management.
2. **Align, Plan and Organise (APO):** is concerned with developing and implementing an IT strategy that is aligned with the organisation's overall business goals. It also includes objectives related to resource management and project management.
3. **Build, Acquire and Implement (BAI):** is concerned with the development and implementation of IT solutions. It includes objectives related to requirements management, change management, and testing.
4. **Deliver, Service and Support (DSS):** is concerned with the delivery and support of IT services. It includes objectives related to service level management, incident management, and problem management.

5. **Monitor, Evaluate and Assess (MEA):** is concerned with monitoring and evaluating the performance of IT solutions and services. It also includes objectives related to compliance and risk management. Performance management in COBIT 2019 is based on the CMMI Performance Management Scheme, in which the capability and maturity levels are measured between 0 and 5.

Consider some examples of COBIT 2019 objectives:

- **EDM01: Establish and maintain a strategic vision for IT**
 - Ensures that the organisation has a clear and well-defined vision for its IT. The vision should be aligned with the organisation's overall business goals and objectives, and it should be communicated to all stakeholders.
- **APO01: Align the IT strategy with the enterprise strategy**
 - Ensures that the IT strategy is aligned with the organisation's overall business strategy. The IT strategy should support the organisation's goals and objectives, and it should be flexible enough to adapt to change.
- **BAI01: Manage the IT investment portfolio**
 - Ensures that the organisation's IT investments are aligned with its business goals and objectives, and that they are delivering the value that the business needs.
- **DSS01: Ensure the availability and performance of IT services**
 - Ensures that the organisation's IT services are available and performant when needed. This includes managing capacity, performance, and availability.
- **MEA01: Monitor and evaluate the performance of IT solutions and services**
 - Monitors and evaluates the performance of the organisation's IT solutions and services. This includes monitoring IT costs, benefits, and risks.

These are just a few examples of COBIT 2019 objectives. Organisations can use COBIT 2019 objectives to assess their current IT governance and management practices, and to identify areas for improvement. COBIT 2019 objectives can also be used to develop and implement a plan to improve IT governance and management. COBIT 2019 objectives are designed to help organisations achieve the following benefits:

- Improved alignment of IT with business goals
- Enhanced performance and risk management
- Increased efficiency and effectiveness of IT operations
- Improved compliance with regulations and standards
- Reduced costs and improved value for money.

5.3 Implementing Multi-Framework Coexistence

Organisations can implement multiple frameworks, such as ISO27000, ITIL, COSO and COBIT, but it is important to do so in a way that is coordinated and efficient. Here are some pointers for implementing multiple frameworks:

- **Identify the organisation's goals and objectives:** What are the organisation's top risks? What are its most important priorities? Once the organisation's goals and objectives are clear, it can then select the frameworks that are most relevant to achieving those goals.
- **Assess the organisation's current state:** What are the organisation's current risk management practices? What are its strengths and weaknesses? This assessment will help the organisation to identify where it needs to improve and how the frameworks can be used to achieve those improvements.
- **Select the right frameworks:** Not all frameworks are created equal. Some frameworks are more comprehensive than others, and some are more focused on specific areas of risk management. The organisation should select the frameworks that are most appropriate for its needs.
- **Prioritise the frameworks:** Not all frameworks need to be implemented at the same time. The organisation should prioritise the frameworks based on its goals and objectives.
- **Align the frameworks:** Once the frameworks have been selected, they need to be aligned with each other. This means ensuring that the frameworks are compatible and that they work together to achieve the organisation's goals.
- **Implement the frameworks:** The frameworks should be implemented in a coordinated and efficient manner. This may involve creating a roadmap or timeline for implementation.
- **Monitor and evaluate the frameworks:** Once the frameworks have been implemented, they should be monitored and evaluated to ensure that they are effective. This may involve conducting regular audits or reviews.

By following these pointers, organisations can implement multiple frameworks in a way that is coordinated and efficient. This can help organisations to improve their risk management practices and achieve their goals. Some additional points of consideration when implementing multiple frameworks are:

- **The organisation's size and complexity:** Larger and more complex organisations may need to implement more frameworks than smaller and simpler organisations.
- **The organisation's industry:** Some industries are more regulated than others, and this may affect the need for certain frameworks.
- **The organisation's culture:** The organisation's culture can also affect the success of implementing multiple frameworks. If the organisation is not open to change, it may be more difficult to implement new frameworks.

Some examples of the type of organisations that implement a combination of two or more frameworks, such as ISO27000, ITIL, COSO and COBIT are:

- **Financial Institutions:** Banks are required to comply with a number of regulations, including the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS), which is based on ISO/IEC 27001. Banks also use ITIL to manage their IT services and COSO to manage their overall risk.
- **Healthcare organisations:** Healthcare organisations are required to comply with a number of regulations, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Healthcare organisations also use ITIL to manage their IT services and COSO to manage their overall risk.
- **Government agencies:** Government agencies are required to comply with a number of regulations, including the EU Cybersecurity Act [5]. Government agencies also use ITIL to manage their IT services and COSO to manage their overall risk.
- **Critical infrastructure organisations:** Critical infrastructure organisations, such as power plants and telecommunications providers, are required to comply the Network Information Systems (NIS) directives [6] [7]. Critical infrastructure organisations also use ITIL to manage their IT services and COSO to manage their overall risk.
- **Technology companies:** Technology companies use ISO/IEC 27001 to protect their data and ITIL to manage their IT services. They may also use COSO to manage their overall risk, especially if they are publicly traded companies.

These are just a few examples of organisations that implement a combination of two or more of ISO/IEC 27000, ITIL, COSO and COBIT. The specific frameworks that an organisation uses will depend on its specific needs and objectives.

6 NIST Cybersecurity Framework

A Cybersecurity Framework, such as the NIST CSF v2.0 [8], describes essential cybersecurity outcomes that can help an organisation reduce its cybersecurity risk. Frameworks are not necessarily a one size fits all approach to managing cybersecurity risks and, depending on circumstances, it may be necessary to take elements from two or more. An example that will be explored later is the ISO International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 27001 [9] and International Society of Automation (ISA)/IEC 62443 [10], together to deal with a particular set of circumstances in Operational Technology (OT) environments. Organisations have unique risks, including different threats, vulnerabilities, and risk tolerances, as well as unique mission objectives and requirements across sectors. Thus, each organisation's implementation of a Framework, and approaches they make to managing risk, vary.

This topic will focus on the NIST CSF v2.0 as a basis for understanding Cybersecurity Frameworks and later in the module other frameworks will be discussed.

The CSF is collection of cybersecurity outcomes that can be used to:

- **Understand and Assess:**
 - Describe an organisation's current or target cybersecurity posture within and across organisations, sectors, or business units.
 - Determine where an organisation may have cybersecurity gaps, including with respect to existing or emerging threats or technologies, and assess progress toward addressing those gaps.
 - Align policy, business, and technological approaches to managing cybersecurity risks across an entire organisation or in a more focused area, such as a portion of the organisation, a specific technology, or technology suppliers.
- **Prioritise:**
 - Prioritise opportunities to improve cybersecurity risk management.
 - Identify, organise, and prioritise actions for reducing cybersecurity risks that align with the organisation's mission, legal and regulatory requirements, and risk management and governance expectations.
 - Inform decisions about cybersecurity-related workforce needs and capabilities.
- **Communicate:**
 - Provide a common language for communicating with internal and external parties about cybersecurity risks, capabilities, needs, and expectations.
 - Complement an organisation's risk management process by presenting a concise way for executives and others to distil the fundamental concepts of cybersecurity risk so that they express at a high level risks to be managed and how their organisation uses cybersecurity standards, guidelines, and practices.

6.1 Introduction to CSF Core




Figure 7: Framework Functions

The Framework Core provides a set of cybersecurity outcomes, arranged by Function, Category, and Subcategory, implementation examples of how those outcomes might be achieved as well as references to additional guidance on how to achieve those outcomes.

6.1.1 Functions

Govern (GV)

Establish and monitor the organisation's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy. This is a cross-cutting function and provides outcomes to inform how an organisation will achieve and prioritise the outcomes of the other five functions in the context of its mission and stakeholder expectations. GV directs the establishment of cybersecurity strategy and cybersecurity supply chain risk management; roles, responsibilities, and authorities; policies, processes, and procedures; and the oversight of cybersecurity strategy.

Identify (ID)

A function to determine the current cybersecurity risk to the organisation. Understanding the assets as well as the related cybersecurity risks enables an organisation to focus and prioritise effort in a manner consistent with its risk management strategy and the mission needs identified under GV. This function includes the identification of improvements needed for the organisation's policies, processes, procedures, and practices supporting cybersecurity risk management to inform efforts under all six functions.

Protect (PR)

Use safeguards to prevent or reduce cybersecurity risk. Once assets and risks are identified and prioritised, PR supports the ability to secure those assets to prevent or lower the likelihood and impact of adverse cybersecurity events. This function includes outcomes such as awareness and training; data security; identity management, authentication, and access control; platform security as well as the resilience of technology infrastructure.

Detect (DE)

Find and analyse possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises. DE enables timely discovery and analysis of anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse cybersecurity events that may indicate that cybersecurity attacks and incidents are occurring.

Respond (RS)

Action related to a detected cybersecurity incident. RS supports the ability to contain the impact of cybersecurity incidents and outcomes include incident management, analysis, mitigation, reporting, and communication.

Recover (RC)

Restore assets and operations that were impacted by a cybersecurity incident. RC supports timely restoration of normal operations to reduce the impact of cybersecurity incidents and enable appropriate communication during recovery efforts.

Figure 7 illustrates the CSF Functions in a wheel as all framework functions are related to each other. GV is in the centre of the wheel considering how it informs the organisation on the implementation of the other five functions.

6.2 Functional Categories and Sub-categories

As listed in Table 2 functions are subdivided into categories of related outcomes and Subcategories are a further division of a Category into specific outcomes of technical and management activities.

Table 2: Categories and their identifiers

Function	Category	Category ID
Govern (GV)	Organisational Context	GV.OC
	Risk Management Strategy	GV.RM
	Cybersecurity Supply Chain Risk Management	GV.SC
	Roles, Responsibilities, and Authorities	GV.RR
	Policies, Processes, and Procedures	GV.PO
	Oversight	GV.OV
Identify (ID)	Asset Management	ID.AM
	Risk Assessment	ID.RA
	Improvement	ID.IM
Protect (PR)	Identity Management, Authentication, and Access Control	PR.AA
	Awareness and Training	PR.AT
	Data Security	PR.DS
	Platform Security	PR.PS
	Technology Infrastructure Resilience	PR.IR
Detect (DE)	Continuous Monitoring	DE.CM
	Adverse Event Analysis	DE.AE
Respond (RS)	Incident Management	RS.MA
	Incident Analysis	RS.AN
	Incident Response Reporting and Communication	RS.CO
	Incident Mitigation	RS.MI
Recover (RC)	Incident Recovery Plan Execution	RC.RP
	Incident Recovery Communication	RC.CO

6.3 Operational Deployment

CSF Profiles are developed to understand, assess, and communicate the organisation's current or target cybersecurity posture and to prioritise outcomes for achieving the target cybersecurity posture.

These profiles:

- Assess the organisation's achievement in terms of cybersecurity outcomes.
- Characterise cybersecurity risk management outcomes within framework tiers.
- Improve cybersecurity communication with internal and external stakeholders.
- Manage cybersecurity risk throughout supply chains.




Figure 8: CSF Target Profiles

As illustrated in Figure 8, there are two types of profiles:

- **Current Profile**
 - Covers the Core outcomes that an organisation is currently achieving (or attempting to achieve) and characterises how or to what extent each outcome is being achieved.
- **Target Profile**
 - Covers the desired outcomes that an organisation has selected and prioritised from the Core for achieving its cybersecurity risk management objectives.
 - A Target Profile takes into account anticipated changes to the organisation's cybersecurity posture, such as new requirements, new technology adoption, and cybersecurity threat intelligence trends.

Some organisations prefer to create a Current Profile first. An organisation may want to review its current efforts first and then consider areas for improvement. Others prefer to start with a Target Profile and work toward it.

6.3.1 Create CSF Profiles




Figure 9: Create CSF Profiles

1. Define the use case for the Profiles

- The use case defines the high-level facts and assumptions on which the Profiles will be based, as a way of scoping the Profiles.
- This can include:
 - The reason for creating the Profiles
 - The organisation's divisions, IT assets, services, and other elements that are in scope for these Profiles
 - Those who will develop, review, and operationalise the Profiles
 - The individuals who will set expectations for actions to achieve the cybersecurity outcomes.

2. Gather the information needed to prepare the Profiles

- An organisation can gather relevant resources prior to preparing the Profiles, such as organisational policies, risk management priorities and resources, cybersecurity requirements and standards followed by the organisation, and work roles.

3. Create Current and Target Profiles

- Determine what types of supporting information each Profile should include for each of the selected Framework outcomes, and fill in the elements for each selected outcome. Consider the risk implications of the current state to inform target state planning and prioritisation.

4. Analyse the Profiles and create an action plan

- Identify and analyse the differences between the Current and Target Profiles is a great way for the organisation to identify gaps and develop a prioritised action plan for addressing those gaps to improve cybersecurity.

5. Implement the action plan and update the Profiles

- The organisation follows the action plan to adjust its cybersecurity practices to address gaps and move toward the Target Profile. Improving an organisation's cybersecurity programme is a continuous effort, and implementing an action plan can take months or years. At frequencies defined by the organisation, the Current Profile should be updated to assess progress towards the Target.
- Profile(s) should be updated to reflect changes in the organisation and its cybersecurity risk.

An organisation may choose to develop multiple profiles that each address a different use case and scope. This can enable better prioritisation of activities and outcomes where there may be differing degrees of cybersecurity risk while still allowing an organisation to use the overarching framework structure for consistency across use cases. Examples include describing a cybersecurity outcome posture for:

- An entire enterprise
- Each of an organisation's major business units
- Business partners or suppliers
- Each of an organisation's most critical systems
- Products or services with cybersecurity requirements.

Table 3: Organisational Profile Template

Selected Framework Outcomes (Functions, Categories, or Subcategories)	Current Policies, Processes, and Procedures	Current Internal Practices	Target Priority	Target Policies, Processes, and Procedures	Target Roles and Responsibilities	Target Selected Informative References	Notes
GVAM-01: Asset management strategy is in place. (GOVERN)	Documented Asset Management Policy and Procedure for Asset Inventory.	Manual, quarterly spreadsheet inventory; only covers IT assets; little oversight from leadership.	High	Implement automated Asset Discovery Tool and update policy to include all OT.	CISO to own the policy; Asset Manager to oversee inventory process.	ISO 27001: A.8.1; CIS Control 1; COBIT GOV05.01	Need to purchase and integrate new asset discovery software within 6 months.
ID.SC-01: System components are identified. (IDENTIFY)	Process for system registration in Configuration Management Database (CMDB).	CMDB data is often incomplete, missing non-production servers and cloud instances.	Medium	Mandate automated cloud discovery agent deployment for all new deployments; Quarterly CMDB Data Quality Audit.	Cloud Operations Team to ensure agent deployment; Configuration Manager to conduct audits.	NIST SP 800-53: CM-8; ISO 27001: A.8.1.1	Focus on improving accuracy of cloud-based assets first, then expand to container environments.

Table 3 illustrates a organisational profile template, with example entries. This could have added elements such as:

- Status
- Priority
- Policies, Processes and Procedures
- Internal Practices
- Roles and Responsibilities
- References
- Measurements/Metrics
- Artefacts and Evidence.

Table 4 illustrates a possible action plan template that is customisable to an organisations own requirements. Once differences between the Current and Target Profiles are identified, analysed and gaps are identified, an action plan is required to address the gaps to improve cybersecurity. This plan should consider mission drivers, benefits, risks, and necessary resources.

This example template includes rows for the priority of each action item, a description of the action item, the responsible party or department, the target completion date,

and the resources required to accomplish the action item, such as personnel, budget, tools. This template can be integrated with the Profiles or maintained separately. The action plan can be based on outcomes at the Function, Category, or Subcategory level or a combination of those levels.

The CSF is designed to be used in conjunction with other cybersecurity frameworks, standards, and guidance. There are many resources that can be tapped into within NIST publications but also with other organisations that will be the subject of future topics in this module.

Table 4: Action Plan Template

Selected Framework Outcomes	Priority	Action Item	Responsible Parties	Target Completion Date	Resources Required
Increase customer satisfaction score (CSAT) by 15% in the next quarter.	High	1. Develop and implement a new, faster online support chat system. 2. Train customer service team on new chat system and best practices for quick resolution.	Product Development Team, Customer Service Manager	Chat System Launch: Nov 15th Training Complete: Nov 25th	Budget for new chat software subscription, dedicated time for staff training.
Improve employee skill proficiency in data analysis for all team leads.	Medium	1. Research and select a mandatory online data analysis course suitable for non-analysts. 2. Enroll all team leads in the selected course and track their progress.	HR/Training Department, Department Heads	Course Selection: Oct 30th Enrollment/Start: Nov 15th	Budget for course licences, scheduling time for team leads to complete the course (approx. 10 hours).

6.3.2 CSF Tiers




Figure 10: CSF Tiers

CSF Tiers help to set the overall tone for how cybersecurity risks will be managed within the organisation, and determine the effort required to reach a selected Tier. This follows the CMM.

Tier 1

Organisations can start with where they are, perhaps with undocumented processes and in a state of dynamic change, tending to be driven in an ad hoc, uncontrolled and reactive manner by users or events. This provides a chaotic or unstable environment for the processes.

Tier 2

Risk management practices, within the organisation, are approved by management but may not be established as organisational-wide policy. Prioritisation of cybersecurity activities and protection requirements are directly informed by organisational risk objectives, the threat environment, or business/mission requirements.

Tier 3

The organisation's risk management practices are formally approved and expressed as policy. Risk-informed policies, processes, and procedures are defined, implemented as intended, and reviewed. Organisational cybersecurity practices are regularly updated based on the application of risk management processes to changes in business/mission requirements, threats, and technological landscape.

Tier 4

There is an organisation-wide approach to managing cybersecurity risks that uses risk-informed policies, processes, and procedures to address potential cybersecurity events. The relationship between cybersecurity risks and organisational objectives is clearly understood and considered when making decisions. Senior executives monitor cybersecurity risks in the same context as financial and other organisational risks.

6.3.3 NIST CSF2.0 and OT

NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-82, Revision 3 Guide to Operational Technology (OT) Security (NIST SP 800-83r3) [11] provides a comprehensive guide for securing OT systems by applying and tailoring the risk management principles of the NIST CSF. It encompasses a wider range of systems that interact with the physical world, including building automation, transportation, physical access control, and the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT). Compared to earlier revisions, it acknowledges the increasing convergence of IT and OT networks and the need for a more comprehensive security approach through an updated overview of OT threats and vulnerabilities, and offers guidance on how to manage the unique performance, reliability, and safety requirements of these systems.

NIST SP 800-82r3 also places a greater emphasis on a risk-based approach to OT security, aligning its guidance with the NIST CSF2.0 and the NIST SP 800-37 Revision 2 Risk Management Framework (RMF) [12]. The publication provides tailored security control baselines for low, moderate, and high-impact OT systems, which align with the controls in NIST SP 800-53, Revision 5 Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organisations [13]. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of supply chain security, incident response and recovery, and the application of new concepts like Zero Trust architectures in OT environments. By providing detailed recommendations on security assessments, continuous monitoring, and security training for personnel, NIST SP 800-83r3 offers a robust and modern framework to help organisations protect their critical OT infrastructure against a rapidly evolving threat landscape.

7 Bibliography

- [1] *ITIL® Foundation: ITIL 4 Edition (2022)*. PeopleCert, 2022.
- [2] 'Achieving Effective Internal Control over Sustainability Reporting (ICSR): Building Trust and Confidence through the COSO Internal Control—Integrated Framework'. COSO, Jun. 28, 2023. Accessed: Sep. 14, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.coso.org/_files/ugd/3059fc_a3a66be7a48c47e1a285cef0b1f64c92.pdf
- [3] 'COSO Enterprise Risk Management: Integrating with Strategy and Performance'. COSO, Jun. 2017. Accessed: Sep. 14, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.aicpa-cima.com/cpe-learning/publication/coso-enterprise-risk-management-integrating-with-strategy-and-performance>
- [4] ISACA, *COBIT 2019 Framework: Governance and Management Objectives*. Schaumburg, USA: ISACA, 2018.
- [5] Regulation (EU) 2019/881, *EU Cybersecurity Act*. 2019, p. 55. Accessed: Oct. 09, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/881/oj>
- [6] Directive (EU) 2016/1148, *EU Measures for a high common level of security of network and information systems across the Union*. 2016, p. 30. Accessed: Jun. 09, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/1148/oj>
- [7] Directive (EU) 2022/2555, *EU Measures to ensure a high common level of cybersecurity in the Union and amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 and Directive (EU) 2018/1972 and repealing the Directive (EU) 2016/1148 (NIS 2 Directive)*. 2022, p. 73. Accessed: Aug. 08, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2022/2555/oj>
- [8] NIST, 'NIST CSWP 29 Cybersecurity Framework 2.0 (CSF2.0)', National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST CSWP 29, Feb. 2024. Accessed: Mar. 01, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/CSWP/NIST.CSWP.29.pdf>
- [9] ISO/IEC 27001, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Information security management systems — Requirements*, Standard ISO/IEC 27001:2022, Oct. 25, 2022.
- [10] ISA/IEC 62443, 'Industrial communication networks - IT security for networks and systems'. International Society of Automation/International Electrotechnical Commission, Jul. 20, 2009.
- [11] K. Stouffer *et al.*, *NIST SP 800-82r3 Guide to Operational Technology (OT) Security*, Standard NIST SP 800-82 Rev. 3, Sep. 28, 2023. Accessed: Oct. 30, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-82r3.pdf>
- [12] 'NIST SP 800-37r2 Risk Management Framework for Information Systems and Organizations: A System Life Cycle Approach for Security and Privacy', National Institute of Standards and Technology, Initial Public Draft NIST SP 800-37 Rev. 2, Dec. 2018. Accessed: Jun. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r2>
- [13] 'NIST SP 800-53r5 Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations', National Institute of Standards and Technology, Initial Public Draft NIST SP 800-53 rev 5, Mar. 2025. Accessed: Jun. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r5>

This page is intentionally blank